# **AVL** Trees

#### EECS 214, Fall 2017

#### A self-balancing BST

Random binary search trees are *very likely* to be balanced Self-balancing trees are *guaranteed* to be balanced

# Balanced search tree survey

## Red-black trees (tomorrow)

- **Main idea:** Every node has an extra bit marking it "red" or "black"
- Local invariant: No red node has a red parent
- **Global invariant:** Equal number of black nodes from root to every leaf

#### 2-3 trees

Main idea: 2-nodes have one element and two children;3-nodes have two elements and three childrenLocal invariant: All subtrees of a node have the same height

Global invariant: Every leaf is at the same depth

#### 2-4 trees

**Main idea:** Like 2-3 trees, but also has 4-nodes with three elements and four children

**Local invariant:** All subtrees of a node have the same height **Global invariant:** Every leaf is at the same depth

# **Main idea:** Generalizaton of 2–4 trees to 2–*k* trees **Local invariant:** Like 2–4 trees, but allow some number of missing subtrees

Global invariant: Every leaf is at the same depth

Main idea: Cache recently accessed elements near the root of the tree

**Local invariant:** *Complicated; required amortized analysis* **Global invariant:** Paths are *very likely* to be  $O(\log n)$ 

#### **AVL trees**

- **Main idea:** Maintain a *balance factor* giving the difference between each node's subtrees' heights
- **Local invariant:** Balance factor between -1 and 1, maintained via rotations
- Global invariant: Tree is approximately height-balanced
- (AVL stands for Georgy Adelson-Velsky and Evgenii Landis)

## AVL trees

# Example of an AVL tree



## Local invariant maintains global property

- Balance factors are maintained locally
- Never recompute them from scratch
- Yet the whole tree stays reasonably balanced

#### **AVL** insertion

- First do a normal leaf insertion
- Track balance factors on the way back up to the root
- Adjust with rotations as necessary





















Suppose we have an AVL tree:



(Convention: triangles represent equal-height subtrees.)

Suppose we have an AVL tree:



(Convention: triangles represent equal-height subtrees.)

Right now the balance factor is 0. So if we insert into A or C and that subtree grows in height, it becomes -1 or 1.



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Suppose we insert into A. What happens to B's balance factor?



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Suppose we insert into A. What happens to B's balance factor?

- If no change in A's height then no change in B's balance
- If A's height grows then B's balance factor goes to 0



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Suppose we insert into C. What happens to B's balance factor?



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Suppose we insert into C. What happens to B's balance factor?

- If no height change then B's balance doesn't change
- If C grows then B's balance factor becomes +2



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Suppose we insert into C. What happens to B's balance factor?

- If no height change then B's balance doesn't change
- If C grows then B's balance factor becomes +2—not okay!



Right now the balance factor at B is +1.

Likewise, suppose we insert into E. What happens to B's balance factor?

- If no height change then B's balance doesn't change
- If E grows then B's balance factor becomes +2—not okay!

# The right-right case

If the height of the right-right subtree (formerly E) increases, we get a situation like this:



# The right-right case

If the height of the right-right subtree (formerly E) increases, we get a situation like this:



#### The right-left case

If the height of the right-left subtree (formerly C) increases, we get a situation like this:



#### The right-left case

If the height of the right-left subtree (formerly C) increases, we get a situation like this:



#### The right-left case

If the height of the right-left subtree (formerly C) increases, we get a situation like this:



But this is now the right-right case, which we know how to handle!

- We've seen the right-right and right-left cases
- The left-left and left-right cases are symmetrical
- Deletion is like ordinary BST deletion, with the same rebalancing cases

#### Next time: red-black trees