

What are type rules?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

An example - the rule for +

What are type rules?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : num \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : num}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ e_1 e_2\} : num}$$

An example - the rule for +

- This is just one of a set of *inference rules*.
- Together the set of rules define the *type judgment*, which is a relation that assigns types to expressions.

What are type rules?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : num \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : num}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ e_1 e_2\} : num}$$

An example - the rule for +

- This is just one of a set of *inference rules*.
- Together the set of rules define the *type judgment*, which is a relation that assigns types to expressions.
- Fine, but what does that mean...

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{C}$$

The general form of a inference rule

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{C}$$

The general form of a inference rule

- A and B are *premises* (not necessarily two of them)
- C is the *conclusion*

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{C}$$

The general form of a inference rule

- A and B are *premises* (not necessarily two of them)
- C is the *conclusion*
- This is a *rule*, which says:
- If I know A and B, then I can conclude C

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

- If I know **A**, and I know **B**, then I can conclude **A** \wedge **B**

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

- If I know **A**, and I know **B**, then I can conclude **A** \wedge **B**
- How would I know **A** and **B**?

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

- If I know **A**, and I know **B**, then I can conclude **A** \wedge **B**
- How would I know **A** and **B**?
- I used some rule to conclude they were true

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

- If I know **A**, and I know **B**, then I can conclude **A** \wedge **B**
- Some other \wedge rules:

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B}$$

Inference rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B}$$

An example — logical “and”

- If I know **A**, and I know **B**, then I can conclude **A** \wedge **B**

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A} \qquad \frac{A \wedge B}{B}$$

- Add some more rules and you have a system for deciding the truth of logical sentences

A TFAE Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

A TFAE Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

One of a set of rules that define the *type judgment*

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

A TFAE Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

One of a set of rules that define the *type judgment*

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- Which means...
- With the type bindings in Γ , I can conclude that \mathbf{e} has the type τ

A TFAE Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{+ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

One of a set of rules that define the *type judgment*

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- Which means...
- With the type bindings in Γ , I can conclude that \mathbf{e} has the type τ
- Γ is the *type environment* and is just a map from $\langle \mathit{id} \rangle$ to τ (type)

TFAE Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash \langle \text{num} \rangle : \text{num} \quad [\dots \langle \text{id} \rangle \leftarrow \tau \dots] \vdash \langle \text{id} \rangle : \tau$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \text{num}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ + \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \} : \text{num}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ \text{if } \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3 \} : \tau_0$$
$$\Gamma [\langle \text{id} \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ \text{fun } \{ \langle \text{id} \rangle : \tau_1 \} \ \mathbf{e} \} : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_0)$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_0 : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_0) \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \tau_1$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ \mathbf{e}_0 \ \mathbf{e}_1 \} : \tau_0$$

Type derivations

$$\frac{\frac{1 : \text{num} \quad 2 : \text{num}}{\{+ 1 2\} : \text{num}} \quad 3 : \text{num}}{\{+ \{+ 1 2\} 3\} : \text{num}}$$

- We can conclude that an expression has some type if we can come up with a derivation using the type rules.

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\text{if true } \{+ 1 2\} 3\} : \tau ?$$

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\text{if true } \{+ 1 2\} 3\} : \tau ?$$

- What is the type of $\{\text{if true } \{+ 1 2\} 3\}$?
- Is there some τ that will satisfy the type judgment?

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\mathbf{if\ true\ \{+\ 1\ 2\}\ 3}\} : \tau ?$$

Let's try a rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\mathbf{+\ e}_1\ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\mathbf{if\ true\ \{+\ 1\ 2\}\ 3}\} : \tau ?$$

Let's try a rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \mathit{num}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\mathbf{+\ e}_1\ \mathbf{e}_2\} : \mathit{num}}$$

This one won't work (the expressions don't match)...

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{true} \ \{+ \ 1 \ 2\} \ 3\} : \tau ?$$

Try again:

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{bool}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3\} : \tau_0$$

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{true} \ \{+ \ 1 \ 2\} \ 3\} : \tau ?$$

Try again:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_3 : \tau_0}{\Gamma \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3\} : \tau_0}$$

This works, but we still don't have a full derivation...

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{true} \ \{+ \ 1 \ 2\} \ 3\} : \tau ?$$

Try again:

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_1 : \mathit{bool}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_2 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e}_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{\mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{e}_3\} : \tau_0$$

So we have to try again...

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\text{if true } \{+ 1 2\} 3\} : \tau ?$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{\text{if } e_1 e_2 e_3\} : \tau_0$$

- In general, we are stuck doing an expensive search where we try every rule for every expression (with backtracking).

Finding a type

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Let's try to find a type for this expression

$$[] \vdash \{\text{if true } \{+ 1 2\} 3\} : \tau ?$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{\text{if } e_1 e_2 e_3\} : \tau_0$$

- In general, we are stuck doing an expensive search where we try every rule for every expression (with backtracking).
- But actually the type rules have some nice properties, so things aren't really that difficult...

TFAE Rules

$$\Gamma \vdash \langle \text{num} \rangle : \text{num} \quad [\dots \langle \text{id} \rangle \leftarrow \tau \dots] \vdash \langle \text{id} \rangle : \tau$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ + e_1 e_2 \} : \text{num}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_0 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ \text{if } e_1 e_2 e_3 \} : \tau_0$$
$$\Gamma [\langle \text{id} \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash e : \tau_0$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ \text{fun } \{ \langle \text{id} \rangle : \tau_1 \} e \} : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_0)$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e_0 : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_0) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ e_0 e_1 \} : \tau_0$$

Properties of TFAE types

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- There is only one rule that applies to any TFAE expression

Properties of TFAE types

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- There is only one rule that applies to any TFAE expression
- So there is only one (possible) type derivation for any expression

Properties of TFAE types

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- For any rule, Γ and \mathbf{e} always determine τ

Properties of TFAE types

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- For any rule, Γ and \mathbf{e} always determine τ
- Think of Γ and \mathbf{e} as inputs — they give us the necessary information for recursive calls (premises).
- Think of τ as an output — the premises give us what we need to know to construct the result type

Properties of TFAE types

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

- For any rule, Γ and \mathbf{e} always determine τ
- Think of Γ and \mathbf{e} as inputs — they give us the necessary information for recursive calls (premises).
- Think of τ as an output — the premises give us what we need to know to construct the result type
- So we can easily turn the type judgment into a *function*:

```
; type-check  $\Gamma$   $\mathbf{e}$  ->  $\tau$   
;
```