Control

Our Languages So Far



Our Languages So Far



What We Sometimes Need



What We Sometimes Need

- Escaping because of an error (exceptions)
- Escaping because we found the answer (early return)
- Revisiting an earlier decision we made (backtracking)
- Alternating between different computations (coroutines)
- These are all forms of **control** operations

 I.e., of deviating from the normal control flow of
 our program

Control

- Control is all about deciding what to execute next
- May not be what directly follows in the program!
- **Our strategy:** make "what to execute next" explicit in our interpreter
 - Then implementing control operators is just a matter of messing with that

Continuation-passing style

Key idea: convert the interpreter into a style where all remaining work is explicit as an argument to the interpreter: a **continuation**

Kind of like what we did with **interp2** when we implemented state using a store: the **k** argument was a continuation!

Then we can swap in and out different pieces of work as we decide what we want to run!

Continuation-passing style

We will transform our interpreter from:

```
interp : FAE DefSub -> FAE-Value
into a function with this type:
  FAE DefSub (FAE-Value -> FAE-Value)
  -> FAE-Value
```

```
If we also have a store as a result, where does it go?
```

```
interp : (-> BFAE
    DefSub
    Store
    (Value*Store -> Value*Store)
    Value*Store)
```

(But we won't worry about stores for now.)

Analogy

If a store is akin to a **heap** as an explicit value...

...then a continuation is a **stack** as an explicit value!

What follows in the FAE interpreter, transformed in continuation-passing style. Each future step of computation is explicitly packaged up into a more complex k argument to be supplied to the next call to interp

```
(define-type FAE
  [num (n number?)]
 [add (lhs FAE?)
       (rhs FAE?)]
 [sub (lhs FAE?)
       (rhs FAE?)]
 [id (name symbol?)]
  [fun (param-name symbol?)
       (body FAE?)]
 [app (fun-expr FAE?)
       (arg-expr FAE?)])
```

```
(define-type FAE-Value
  [numV (n number?)]
  [closureV (param-name symbol?)
        (body FAE?)
        (ds DefSub?)])
```

```
(define-type DefSub
 [mtSub]
 [aSub (name symbol?)
    (value FAE-Value?)
    (rest DefSub?)])
```

```
(define (interp-expr a-fae)
  (interp a-fae (mtSub)
        (λ (x) x)))
```

```
; FAE? DefSub? (FAE-Value? -> any) -> any
(define (interp a-fae ds k)
  (type-case FAE a-fae
    [num (n) (k (numV n))]
    [add (l r) (numop + l r ds k)]
    [sub (l r) (numop - l r ds k)]
    [id (name) (k (lookup name ds))]
    [fun (param-name body)
         (k (closureV param-name body ds))]
    [app (fun-expr arg-expr)
         the next slide contains this case ]))
```

```
• • •
[app (fun-expr arg-expr)
     (interp fun-expr ds
        (\lambda (fun-val)
          (interp arg-expr ds
            (\lambda (arg-val)
              (interp
                (closureV-body fun-val)
                (aSub (closureV-param-name fun-val)
                      arg-val
                       (closureV-ds fun-val))
               k)))))]
```

Let's add early return to our language!

To start, let's allow only 0 as an early return value

```
(define-type KFAE
  [num (n number?)]
  [add (lhs KFAE?)
       (rhs KFAE?)]
  [sub (lhs KFAE?)
       (rhs KFAE?)]
  [id (name symbol?)]
  [fun (param-name symbol?)
       (body KFAE?)]
  [app (fun-expr KFAE?)
       (arg-expr KFAE?)]
  [ret-0]) ; no extra info to keep track of!
```

Ret-0

```
{{fun {x} {+ x {ret-0}}}

5} \Rightarrow 0

{+ {{fun {x} {+ x {ret-0}}}}

5}

3}

\Rightarrow 3
```

 $\{ret-0\} \Rightarrow error: not inside a function$

Ret-0

[ret-0 () (numV 0)]

- We don't *have* to call our continuation.
- If we ignore it, we skip its work!

Ret-0

```
{+ {{fun {x} {+ x {ret-0}}}}
5}
3}
\Rightarrow 0
```

- Oops, we return too far!
- All the way to the beginning, in fact!
- Solution: two continuations! One for normal execution, one for returning!

If we produce a value, continue interpreting the current function.

```
: KFAE? DefSub?
   (KFAE-Value? -> KFAE-Value?)
 (KFAE-Value? -> KFAE-Value?)
   -> KFAE-Value?
(define (interp a-kfae ds k ret-k)
  (type-case KFAE a-kfae
    [num (n) (k (numV n))]
    [add (l r) (numop + l r ds k ret-k)]
    [sub (l r) (numop - l r ds k ret-k)]
    [id (name) (k (lookup name ds))]
    [fun (param-name body)
         (k (closureV param-name body ds))]
    ...))
```

```
. . .
[app (fun-expr arg-expr)
     (interp fun-expr ds
       (\lambda (fun-val))
          (interp arg-expr ds
            (\lambda (arg-val))
              (interp
               (closureV-body fun-val)
               (aSub (closureV-param-name fun-val)
                     arg-val
                      (closureV-ds fun-val))
               k
               ; we're entering a new function body
               ; if we return from it, it's as if we
               ; were done interpreting the body!
               ; so we're done with the call!
               k))
           ret-k))
       ret-k)]
                                                        26
```

Returning = calling the return continuation with the return value!

• • •

[ret () (ret-k (numV 0))]

```
For completeness
(define (numop op l r ds k ret-k)
  (interp 1 ds
           (lambda (l-v)
             (interp r ds
                      (lambda (r-v)
                        (k (numV
                             (op (numV-n l-v))
                                 (numV-n r-v)))))
                      ret-k))
          ret-k))
```

Pass **ret-k** along in case either operand returns.

Otherwise continue execution as normal

Returning any value

Let's generalize to allow any return value

```
(define-type KFAE
  [num (n number?)]
  [add (lhs KFAE?)
       (rhs KFAE?)]
  [sub (lhs KFAE?)
       (rhs KFAE?)]
  [id (name symbol?)]
  [fun (param-name symbol?)
       (body KFAE?)]
  [app (fun-expr KFAE?)
       (arg-expr KFAE?)]
  [ret-0]
  [ret (ret-expr KFAE?)])
```

Returning any value

```
{{fun {x} {+ x {ret 2}}}
5} \Rightarrow 2
{+ {{fun {x} {+ x {ret 10}}}
5}
3}
\Rightarrow 13
```

{ret 2} \Rightarrow error: not inside a function

```
[ret (ret-expr)
; compute your return value
(interp ret-expr ds
; when you're done, return!
   (lambda (ret-val) (ret-k ret-val))
; if someone tries to return while
; computing the return value, that's
; the same as just returning
   ret-k)]
```

...which is equivalent to

```
...
[ret (ret-expr)
    (interp ret-expr ds
        ret-k ; that lambda was extraneous
        ret-k)]
```

Ret within Ret

```
ret is an expression
So can have ret inside ret!
  {{fun {x} {ret {ret 2}}}
  5} ⇒ 2
  {{fun {x} {+ x {ret {+ 4 {ret 2}}}}
  5}
  ⇒ 2
```

That's a bit weird, but it follows naturally from our rules. This kind of behavior makes sense for, e.g., exceptions.

Exception within Exception



Source: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/desktop/uxguide/mess-error