IDGraphs: Intrusion Detection and Analysis Using Histographs

Pin Ren*

Yan Gao† 🛛 🛛

Zhichun Li[‡] Yan Chen[§]

Benjamin Watson[¶]

Department of Computer Science Northwestern University

ABSTRACT

Traffic anomalies and attacks are commonplace in today's networks and identifying them rapidly and accurately is critical for large network operators. For a statistical intrusion detection system (IDS), it is crucial to detect at the flow-level for accurate detection and mitigation. However, existing IDS systems offer only limited support for 1) interactively examining detected intrusions and anomalies, 2) analyzing worm propagation patterns, 3) and discovering correlated attacks. These problems are becoming even more acute as the traffic on today's high-speed routers continues to grow.

IDGraphs is an interactive visualization system for intrusion detection that addresses these challenges. The central visualization in the system is a flow-level trace plotted with time on the horizontal axis and aggregated number of unsuccessful connections on the vertical axis. We then summarize a stack of tens or hundreds of thousands of these traces using the *Histographs*[23] technique, which maps data frequency at each pixel to brightness. Users may then interactively query the summary view, performing analysis by highlighting subsets of the traces. For example, brushing a linked correlation matrix view highlights traces with similar patterns, revealing distributed attacks that are difficult to detect using standard statistical analysis.

We apply IDGraphs system to a real network router data-set with 179M flow-level records representing a total traffic of 1.16TB. The system successfully detects and analyzes a variety of attacks and anomalies, including port scanning, worm outbreaks, stealthy TCP SYN floodings, and some distributed attacks.

CR Categories: C.2.0 [Computer-Communication Networks]: General—security and protection;H.5.2 [Information Systems]: Information Interfaces and Presentation—User Interfaces;K.6.5 [Management of Computing and Information Systems]: Security and Protection—invasive software;

Keywords: Intrusion Detection, Visualization, Interactive System, Brushing and Linking, Correlation Matrix, Dynamic Query

1 INTRODUCTION

Traffic anomalies and attacks are commonplace in today's networks. It is estimated that malicious code (viruses, worms and Trojan horses) caused over \$28 billion in economic losses in 2003, and will grow to over \$75 billion by 2007 [18]. For these reasons, large network operators place great importance on rapid and accurate identification of traffic anomalies and attacks.

Most existing intrusion detection systems (IDSs) identify attacks using specific patterns in the attack traffic called signatures. But such IDSs cannot detect unknown network attacks, and attackers can easily foil detection by garbling their signatures. Other statistical IDSs [4, 20, 28, 29] use overall traffic to detect attacks, but suffer from inaccuracies and difficulties in finding attack flows, even when anomalies are correctly identified. There are also a few flow-level detection schemes [14, 21, 24], which keep status for specific flows, but the following questions remain open.

- Do intrusions such as TCP SYN flooding and port scans have characteristic time series patterns, when observed from edge network routers? For instance, are there any common patterns for spread of a specific worm that might indicate its propagation strategy? Answering these questions will be difficult to obtain without visualization, especially with today's huge network flows.
- How can we identify correlated attacks, especially when they are new? This is a difficult challenge for the intrusion detection (ID) community. To the best of our knowledge, almost all systems have to treat attacks independently, even after detecting the attacks.
- How can discovered intrusions and anomalies be analyzed interactively? One of the key challenges for statistical detection is the threshold for attacks. How will the attacks and their distributions/patterns change when we change the detection threshold?

IDGraphs is an interactive visualization system designed to address these challenges, supporting intrusion detection over massive network traffic streams. It has the following features:

- A novel data-to-space mapping for discovery of attack patterns. We plot the number of unsuccessful connections (SYN-SYN/ACK) vs. time in our graphs. We are suspicious of any connections that fail too frequently. For detection of TCP SYN flooding, we use time series corresponding to unique destination IP (DIP) and port (Dport) pairs. For detection of horizontal scans, series correspond to source IP (SIP)/Dport keys, and for vertical scan detection, to SIP/DIP keys. Other series keys are also possible.
- High visual scalability through the use of *Histographs* [23]. Tens or hundreds of thousands of time series can be viewed at once, with frequency of network events indicated by pixel brightness.
- A linked correlation matrix view that reveals correlated attacks. Brushing reveals correlated time series patterns. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to use such views for intrusion detection.
- A search and filter interface for ungraphed network data dimensions such as SIP and Dport.

We demonstrate IDGraphs on a single day of NetFlow network traffic traces collected at edge routers at Northwestern University, which has several OC-3 links. These traces totalled 179M records

^{*}e-mail:p-ren@cs.northwestern.edu

[†]e-mail:yga751@cs.northwestern.edu

[‡]e-mail:lizc@cs.northwestern.edu

[§]e-mail:ychen@cs.northwestern.edu

[¶]e-mail:watson@northwestern.edu

and 1.16TB of traffic. IDGraphs reveals the port scanning of virus and worm propagation, the pattern of stealthy TCP SYN flooding, as well as the correlated action of distributed attacks.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the related work. In Section 3, we discuss the threat model and data collection. The features and design of the IDGraphs system is presented in Section 4, and case studies in Section 5. Finally, we give the conclusions and future work in Section 6.

2 PREVIOUS WORK

2.1 Intrusion Detection

An IDS is a type of security management system for computers and networks. It gathers and analyzes information from various areas within a computer or a network to identify possible security breaches, which include both intrusions and misuse. With the rapid growth of network bandwidth and fast emergence of new attacks/worms, network IDSs have drawn more attention from researchers.

Many network IDSs like Bro [21] and Snort [24] check packet payload for virus/worm signatures. However, such schemes do not scale to high-speed network links. To delete large scale attacks, many researchers have proposed techniques based on the statistical characteristics of the intrusions. We classify these techniques into two rough categories: 1) detection based on overall traffic[4, 20, 28, 29] such as Change Point Monitor (CPM), which tends to be inaccurate and cannot find real attack flows; and 2) flow-level detection [14, 21, 24] such as Threshold Random Walk (TRW), which is vulnerable to denial-of-service (DoS) attacks with randomly spoofed IP addresses. Flow-level detection is especially vulnerable on high-speed networks, since the sequential hypothesis testing scheme it uses needs to maintain a per-SIP table for detection. Gao et al. [11] recently addressed this problem using a reversible sketch technique.

Most ID technologies perform detection on individual traffic flows, rather than looking for the correlations between multiple flows. These methods can only provide a small snapshot of globally distributed attacks. More recently developed correlation information analyses [2, 15] address this problem, reducing the high volume of alerts and false positives [9, 8].

2.2 Visualization For Internet Security

In applying interactive visualization to Internet security research, researchers exploit the innate and highly efficient human ability to process visual information, enabling the complex tasks of network security monitoring and intrusion detection to be performed in an accurate and timely manner. Many systems [6, 16, 17, 19, 22, 27, 31] have addressed this problem. All of them provide interactive visual support for anomaly detection.

SeeNet[6] displays network traffic on a colored grid. Each point on the grid represents the level of traffic between a traffic source and a traffic destination.

PortVis [19] produces visualizations of network traffic using 2D plots with time and port number as axes, and summarizing the network activity at each location in the plot (a time/port pair) using color. Users can drill down to display traffic information at finer temporal and port resolutions.

VisFlowConnect [31] uses a simple application of parallel coordinates [12] to display incoming and outgoing network flow data as links between two machines or domains. (Parallel coordinates are a widely used technique for plotting high-dimensional data). It also employs a variety of visual cues to help detect attacks.

The Spinning Cube [17] maps SIP, DIP and Dport to the axes in a 3D plot. The amount of network activity is visualized interactively



Figure 1: Visual representation for three types of scans.

the destination IP	DIP
the source IP	SIP
the destination port	Dport
the source port	Sport

Table 1: The fields in IP header that we may use in detection

in the plot using color, displaying certain attacks (especially port scans) very clearly.

NVisionIP [16] visualizes network flow data in a 2D matrix with IP addresses on each axis. Each cell in the matrix represents the interaction between the corresponding network hosts. Users can reduce or increase detail in the current view.

3 The threat model and data collection

3.1 Threat Model

Ultimately, we want to detect as many attacks as possible. As a first step, we focus on arguably the two most popular intrusions for detection: DoS TCP flooding attacks¹ and port scans (mostly for worm propagation). It is reported that more than 90% of DoS attacks are TCP SYN flooding attacks [28, 29].

Scans are probably the most common and versatile type of intrusion. Based on source/dest IP and the port number involved in the scans, there are three well known types of scans: horizontal scan, vertical scan, and block scan [30, 26]. The classification is illustrated in Figure 1. Unlike DoS attacks, the attacker needs to use a real source IP address, since he/she needs to see the result of the scan in order to know what ports are actually open [26, 30]. Horizontal scans are the most common type of scan, and scans certain ports across an interesting range of IP addresses. The port number is often unique because it reflects the vulnerability the virus/worm or attackers try to exploit. A vertical scan is a scan of some or all ports on a single host, with the rationale that the attacker is interested in this particular host, and wishes to characterize its active services to find which exploits to attempt [26]. The third type of scan, a block scan, is a combination of horizontal and vertical scans over numerous services on numerous hosts [26].

3.2 Data Collection

Our system is based on preprocessed NetFlow data, but it is easy to extend to other data sources. NetFlow data was originally derived from Cisco routers caching recent flows for lookup efficiency, and it has now become the de facto standard for router traffic monitoring, accepted by all other major router vendors. NetFlow is identified as

¹According to the CERT DoS threat model [7], DoS attacks may also include corruption attacks, which are excluded here because they are often application/protocol specifi c.

a unidirectional stream of packets between a given source and destination, both of which are defined by a network-layer IP address and transport-layer source and destination port numbers. Here we only consider the attacks in TCP protocol, in other words, the TCP SYN Flooding attacks and TCP port scans. We analyze the attributes in TCP/IP headers and select a small set of metrics for flow-level traffic monitoring, the possible fields we can use are shown in Table 1. Normally, attackers can choose TCP source ports arbitrarily, so Sport may not be a good metric for attack detection. For the other three fields, we could consider all the combinations of these three fields, but the key (SIP, DIP, Dport) can only find non-spoofed SYN flooding, so we do not use it in detection. Table 2 shows the other combinations and their selectivity to different types of attacks. Here, we define the selectivity of a key as the capability of differentiating between different types of attacks.

Types of Keys	SYN flooding	hscan	vscan	bscan
(SIP,Dport)	Part (non-spoofed)	Yes	No	Yes
(DIP,Dport)	Yes	No	No	No
(SIP,DIP)	Part (non-spoofed)	No	Yes	Yes
(SIP)	Part (non-spoofed)	Yes	Yes	Yes
(DIP)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
(Dport)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Table 2: The selectivity of different types of keys. The bottom three single-field keys are less selective. (hscan=horizontal scan; vs-can=vertical scan; bscan=block scan.)

Table 2 shows that the combinations of two fields have more selectivity than single fields, so we use the 3 combinations of two fields as keys for detection. We organize our data into three corresponding files:

File 1. We visualize data in the form ((DIP, Dport), time, SYN-SYN/ACK) to detect SYN flooding attacks because they usually target a certain service as characterized by the Dport on a small set of machines. SYN-SYN/ACK is a measure of unsuccessful network connections, reflecting the difference between the number of incoming SYN packets and outgoing SYN/ACK packets.

File 2. We visualize data in the form ((SIP, DIP), time, SYN-SYN/ACK) to detect any intruder trying to attack a particular IP address. Such attacks can be non-spoofed SYN flooding attacks or vertical scans. To determine which sort of attack a DIP is experiencing, we compare visualizations with this file to visualizations with File 1. If the File 1 visualization does not show a flooding attack for the same DIP, the attack is a vertical scan.

File 3. We visualize data in the form ((SIP, Dport), time, SYN-SYN/ACK) to detect any source IP which causes a large number of uncompleted SYN connections to a particular destination port. Such attacks can be non-spoofed SYN flooding or horizontal scans. Once more we compare visualization results with this file to visualization results with File 1 to distinguish between the two possible types of attacks.

When File 2 and File 3 visualizations show vertical and horizontal scanning attacks from the same SIP at the same time, we have detected a block scan.

We use our visualization system for off-line analysis of a netflow log file. The netflow data visualized in this paper consists of router-level network traffic traces from Northwestern University (NU, which has several Class B networks). It consists of 179M netflow records captured in one day in March, 2005. The total traffic is 1.16TB in size. The average packet rate is 37K/s and the peak packet rate is 79K/s. The flows were constructed from packet sampling at a 1:1 rate.



Figure 2: (SIP,Dport) netflow streams plotted in a Histograph to detect horizontal scans over many destination IPs. Dark points indicate high data density, and splatting (blurring) is used to increase the visibility of isolated points. Such unusually isolated and dark points attract attention, as do larger linear structures. Later query (Figure 3) and correlation analysis (Figure 8) reveals that the dark dots in hours 1 and 2 are block scans, while the linear structure in hour 3 is the outbreak of a worm attack with horizontal scans.

4 THE DESIGN OF IDGRAPHS

IDGraphs is built on top of the *Histographs* visualization system [23], with the enhancements designed specifically for visualizing netflow datasets. The data input can be any one of the three aggregated netflow data files we discussed above (Figure 2, 10 and 11). In preprocessing we sequence records by key and then time to form a time series for each key. We filter out streams with less than 5 unsuccessful connections over the whole time range.

IDGraphs is designed to help Internet security experts inspect their netflow data visually and perform deep analysis. Users can quickly identify possible anomalies or attacks using overviews (Figure 2), then follow up with in-depth analyses by querying those possible anomalies (Figure 3). One such analysis is identifying consistent temporal patterns in anomalies, which users can perform in two different ways. Dynamic querying selects and highlights streams with the same or similar SIPs or DIPs (Figure 5). Linked correlation views (Figure 8, 9) help the user select highly correlated streams and highlight them in the main view.

IDGraphs can also be used for interactive visual tuning of automated intrusion detection techniques. Detection thresholds can be investigated using a vertical slider that highlights all streams with a minimum number of unsuccessful connections. Users can then annotate (Figure 7) interesting data subsets for further analysis and presentation by the users themselves, or their collaborators.

4.1 Visual Mapping

In visualizing data, we must define a mapping from the data space to the screen space. Lau [17] maps SIP, DIP, and Dport to the three axes of a cube. PortVis [19] treats the Dport as a 2 byte number, and maps each byte to the axes of a 2D plot. VisFlowConnect [31]



20.167.73.89-->1025 111 20.167.73.89-->444 111 20.167.73.89-->8081 111 20.167.73.89-->3380 111 20.167.73.89-->81 111 20 167 73 89-->443 111 20.167.73.89-->8000 111 20.167.73.89-->1026 111 20.167.73.89-->3382 111 20.167.73.89-->1028 111 20.167.73.89-->4471 111 20.167.73.89-->65506 111 20.167.73.89-->1029 111 20.167.73.89-->554 111 20.167.73.89-->6588 111 20.167.73.89-->8080 111 20.167.73.89-->1080 111 20.167.73.89-->8888 111 20.167.73.89-->1027 111 20.167.73.89-->8002 111 20.167.73.89-->3128.111 20.167.73.89-->80 111 Add all streams here to selection Select all streams here exclusive Flip all streams here for selection Select All streams with Sip Select All streams with Dport

Figure 3: The user clicks on one suspicious outlying and dark point (at the red X) in the (SIP,Dport) data to reveal the streams underneath it, in which a single IP scans multiple Dports – a vertical scan.

VisFlowConnect shows incoming and outgoing links by mapping source and destination to parallel axes, and connecting them with edges.

Unlike previous systems, IDGraphs displays time series data, a temporally ordered sequence of SYN-SYN/ACK values for each file key. We therefore map time to the horizontal axis, and SYN-SYN/ACK to the vertical axis of a 2D plot. Users can also transform the data before this mapping, producing for example a log(SYN-SYN/ACK) mapping to the vertical axis that compresses the data and makes more efficient use of display space. We map log(0) to -1 (Figure 2).

This time series mapping quickly reveals temporal patterns in network flow. It also effectively maps importance to the vertical axis, since higher SYN-SYN/ACK values are more suspicious, and more likely to be intrusions. This highlights potential attacks for users. The number of streams can be viewed at once is only limited by available machine memory.

Since we visualize thousands of streams at once using this mapping, we face an occlusion problem: multiple data points can be mapped to the same display pixel. The base Histographs [23] system, designed for plotting dense and high-dimensional data by stacking or compositing graphs, addresses this problem with a number of techniques. First, similar to the Information Mural system [13], the number of data points at a pixel (frequency) is mapped to pixel luminance, darkening those regions of the plot where data is dense. This highlights the main data trends, but unfortunately, it also makes it difficult to perceive outliers. Histographs addresses this problem in two ways. First, it introduces a new, contrast-weighted mapping between data and luminance that highlights changes in data frequency. Second, when data points are isolated, it adds lower spatial frequencies to them to increase their visibility (splatting) without adjusting the data-luminance mapping. These measures are particularly important in IDGraphs, where outliers are precisely what users are seeking.

These visual mappings provide an effective overview of the netflow data, while also revealing concurrent anomalous activity. Events such as virus outbreaks or port scanning will quickly attract attention from the user (Figure 2).



Figure 4: Selection of streams in the (SIP,Dport) dataset with elements indicating more than 1000 unsuccessful connections (ln(1000) \geq 6.9). Plots points in the same graph are connected with line segments.



Figure 5: The IDGraphs query interface allows users to select and highlight a subset of visualized streams by specifying SIP, DIP and/or Dport. Wildcards can be used to broaden the selection.

4.2 Interactive Query

Interaction is the key to performing deep analysis with IDGraphs. Our design is guided by Shneiderman's visual information-seeking Mantra [25], aiming to provide detailed information whenever the user asks for it. The dynamic query techniques pioneered by Ahlberg and Shneiderman [3] also heavily influenced our design.

The ability to click and query is central to interactive analysis with IDGraphs. Users can click on any pixel to reveal a pop-up menu (Figure 3, 5) showing textual information about the data from different streams aggregated by this pixel. For the (SIP, Dport) file, this reveals SIP, Dport, and the SYN-SYN/ACK difference. Currently selected streams in the query interface are shown by color bars, which have the same color as the lines highlighting the streams in the IDGraph itself.

Clicking for selection is tolerant of inaccuracy, allowing a onepixel mismatch between the location of nearby data and cursor location. This is especially effective when the user wants to query an isolated data pixel.

By selecting streams in the pop-up menu, users can highlight only those streams with certain keys. A shortcut button quickly



Figure 6: Here the user has selected and highlighted all the streams with destination port 3306, which services MySQL.



Figure 7: IDGraphs allows user to annotate any point in the visualization. By default a red dot is left behind; clicking on it reveals the annotation text.

selects and highlights all the streams in the list (Figure 5).

We highlight streams in the IDGraph by linking the data points of each selected time series with lines. Different colors are applied to each stream. Stream data may not be contiguous; in such situations the streams appear as several disconnected polylines, with filled circles emphasizing the start and the end point of each trace (Figures 4, 6).

Having found suspicious network activity, users will often try to generalize the discovery by searching for other streams with the similar features. To address this problem, we provide a more general query interface that allows users to select streams with the same or similar source and destination (Figure 5). Users need not click on a displayed IDGraph feature to use this interface. In Figure 6, we selected all the streams with destination port 3306.

To provide real-time intrusion detection, IDS systems often use default detection thresholds to identify suspicious network activity. These thresholds are very important in both simulation and actual detection. Determining such thresholds is difficult. IDGraphs allows users to examine the effectiveness and impact of different thresholds with vertical slider brushing (Figure 4), which highlights supra-threshold streams interactively. Users can adjust the possible detection threshold interactively by moving the slider. As they do so, all the streams with at least one data value over the threshold will be selected and highlighted. This enables users to study the effect on detection of different thresholds conveniently and interactively, with immediate visual feedback.

4.3 Correlation Analysis

To help users form and test hypotheses about relationships between two or more netflow streams, or simply to identify streams with similar temporal netflow signatures, IDGraphs provides a linked correlation matrix view (Figure 8 and Figure 9). In this matrix, each row and column represents one stream, and displays correlation values to all other streams. In each matrix cell, red indicates negative correlation and green positive, while luminance increases with the absolute magnitude of the correlation. When the number of streams is greater than number of pixels of the designated display area, we perform necessary screen-space scaling to display the matrix and therefore each pixel may correspond to multiple streams. Using two sliders, users can interactively specify a time range over which to construct the matrix and examine correlations (Figure 9).

Using a standard information visualization technique: brushing and linking[5], the correlation view is linked to the main IDGraph, with streams highlighted in one highlighted in the other. This provides two ways of visualizing and interacting with the same data. By brushing or selecting interesting data in one view, users can study the shape of the data in the linked view. In IDGraphs linked correlation view, users can brush using a horizontal stroke or instead use two precise sliders. The corresponding set of netflow streams is highlighted in the main view for further attention (Figure 8 and Figure 9).

This brushing and linking is not particularly useful when the correlated streams are distributed widely across the correlation view. We increase effectiveness by reordering netflow streams in the matrix into correlated clusters. To perform this reordering, we apply the correlation matrix ordering technique described by Friendly[10]. Each row (column) in the matrix is treated as a point in a high-dimensional space, and principal component analysis is applied. Each row (column) is then projected into the 2D space described by the first two eigenvectors of the correlation matrix. These projected 2D points are then ordered radially, and same ordering applied to the rows (columns) of the correlation matrix.

5 CASE STUDIES

In this section we describe several examples of the use of IDGraphs for anomaly detection.

5.1 A Horizontal Scan Caused by a Coordinated Worm Attack

Figure 2 is a visualization of five hours of NetFlow data organized into time series with (SIP,Dport) keys. In the middle of hour 3 there is a very suspicious vertical linear structure. By selecting the streams that reach its SYN-SYN/ACK range (Figure 4), we reveal many short streams with almost no unsuccessful connections outside of the time range spanned by this linear structure, yet with a sudden increase in unsuccessful connections at the time of this structure. Clicking on these streams reveals that they are from different hosts (SIPs), but communicate with 3 common destination ports: 5554, 9898 and 1023. These are ports targeted by the Dabber backdoor and Sasse worm. We discovered these coordinated attacks without prior knowledge of this port information. Having identified these suspicious ports, we can select the streams connecting to those ports via the query interface shown in Figure 5, quickly identifying all the possible attacks by this worm within our dataset, even if they are smaller and stealthier. Because they are highly similar, these streams are also salient in the correlation matrix view (Figure 8), appearing as the large green block in the middle of the matrix.



Figure 8: Brushing with a linked correlation matrix view. Each row and column corresponds to one netflow stream. Green in a matrix cell indicates a positive correlation, red negative; brightness shows the magnitude of the correlation. We selected (brushed) one highly correlated green block using the two horizontal sliders, the corresponding streams are then selected and highlighted in the main, linked IDGraph view. These highly correlated attacks are from different source hosts, targeting primarily three destination ports (a horizontal scan resulting from a worm virus attack).



Figure 9: Correlation brushing within a two hour time period. (Note the time slider in the main view to the right). Here we are visualizing a (DIP,Dport) input file to detect SYN flooding attacks. The four highly correlated streams selected here have the same pattern. Such parallel, coordinated attacks would be difficult to discover with traditional ID methods.



Figure 10: The seven most suspicious sync flooding attacks selected and highlighted in a dataset key with (DIP,Dport).

5.2 A Block Scan and Temporal Similarities in Horizontal Scans

Those streams with a high number of unsuccessful connections in the (SIP,Dport) data set shown in Figure 3 are possible horizontal scans. Such streams can be detected automatically using good thresholding. However, IDGraphs allows an immediate deeper analysis. The suspect streams appear as several dark, splatted dots (see Section 4.1). By clicking on them, the user can reveal detailed textual information. In this case, we learn that all these streams are from the same SIP, and target different Dports: a vertical port scan. Since it is unlikely that the SYN-SYN/ACK failure count would be high for each of these streams if they each only addressed one DIP, the attack is likely also a horizontal scan, and therefore also probably a block scan.

Figure 4 highlights several suspicious streams. In particular, notice the two similarly shaped streams at the beginning of hour 0 (light green) and the beginning of hour 3 (light blue). Clicking on them, we find that they both communicate with Dport 3306, which is used by MySQL. These two possible attacks share the same temporal pattern; note especially the almost constant connection failure rate to the MySQL database for a time period of 15 to 20 minutes. We suspect this pattern may indicate a consistent hacking technique – perhaps password guessing. By querying and selecting all the streams with this Dport, users can further examine all suspicious communication with MySQL in the dataset.(Figure 6)

5.3 SYN Flooding Pattern Discovery

Theoretically speaking, any streams with high SYN-SYN/ACK values in the (DIP,Dport) data set are potential TCP SYN flooding attacks. But IDGraphs allows users to pursue this initial hypothesis more deeply. Figure 10 reveals the temporal patterns of the most suspicious NetFlow streams, and shows that they had SYN-SYN/ACK values that peaked during hours 2 and 3. Brushing on a linked correlation matrix view (Figure 9) reveals four streams with very similar temporal patterns. Even though the DIPs and Dports for these streams are totally different, it is highly probable that these flooding attacks emanate from the same source. We tested this hy-



Figure 11: The top suspicious potential attacks selected and highlighted in a dataset key with (SIP,DIP).

pothesis by visualizing the same traffic keyed and aggregated by (SIP,DIP) (Figure 11). Querying for and highlighting streams with these four DIPs, we find that at any given time the the attacks indeed emanated from the same SIP. While SIPs did change over time, they were always from the same subnet. It seems the attacker was flooding destination hosts on a list, and trying to hide his attack by switching the SIP from time to time.

5.4 Worm Propagation Pattern Discovery and Strategy Inference

Using IDGraphs time series based visualization, patterns in anomalous activity patterns are simple to spot. This offers clues about the propagation strategy of the associated attacks. For instance, we found a very regular series of periodic scans to TCP port 25 (servicing SMTP) as illustrated in Figure 12. It appears to result from the RTM Sendmail Worm [1]. The infected host sends out a burst of scan packets periodically, likely to avoid overloading the attacking machine and its network bandwidth.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have proposed an interactive visualization system: IDGraphs for visualizing NetFlow data streams. We have demonstrated that IDGraphs can not only detect network anomalies and attacks, such as port scans, worm attacks, and SYN flooding, but can also lead to useful insights concerning propagation and intrusion patterns, attack strategy, and even distributed attacks. Evaluation based on real router traffic data gives promising results.

While IDGraphs uses a time vs. SYN-SYN/ACK plot, most other ID visualization systems use plots based on IP address and/or port. Such address-based mappings are very useful, and the ID-Graphs mapping between data and display space should complement them well. In future work, we plan to introduce views with more mappings. With linking and brushing, they should greatly increase the utility of IDGraphs. We are also working to turn this system into a real-time data gathering and visualization tool.



Figure 12: Horizontal scanning revealed in the (SIP,Dport) data set. The highlighted stream shows a very obvious semi-periodic visual pattern over the graphed 25-hour period, with almost the same minimum (0) and maximum (\approx 800) SYN-SYN/ACK values for each burst.

REFERENCES

- Cyberdiversity. http://www.systemtoolbox.com/bfarticle. php?content_id=61, 2004.
- [2] C. Abad, J. Taylor, C. Sengul, W. Yurcik, Y. Zhou, and K. Rowe. Log correlation for intrusion detection: A proof of concept. In *Annual Computer Security Applications Conference (ACSAC)*, 2003.
- [3] Christopher Ahlberg and Ben Shneiderman. Visual information seeking: Tight coupling of dynamic query filters with starfield displays. In *Human Factors in Computing Systems. Conference Proceedings* CHI'94, pages 313–317, 1994.
- [4] P. Barford, J. Kline, D. Plonka, and A. Ron. A signal analysis of network traffic anomalies. In ACM SIGCOMM Internet Measurement Workshop, November 2002.
- [5] Richard A. Becker and William S. Cleveland. Brushing scatterplots. *Technometrics*, 29(2):127–142, 1987.
- [6] Richard A. Becker, Stephen G. Eick, and Allan R. Wilks. Visualizing network data. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 1(1):16–28, 1995.
- [7] CERT Coordination Center. Denial of service attacks. http://www. cert.org/tech_tips/denial_of_service.html, 1999.
- [8] F. Cuppens and A. Mige. Alert correlation in a cooperative intrusion detection framework. In *Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, 2002.
- [9] H. Debar and A. Wespi. Aggregation and correlation of intrusiondetection alerts. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium* on Recent Advances in Intrusion Detection, 2001.
- [10] Michael Friendly. Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices. *American Statistican*, 56(4):316–324, 2002.
- [11] Yan Gao, Zhichun Li, and Yan Chen. Towards a high-speed routerbased anomaly/intrusion detection system. Technical Report NWU-CS-05-011, Northwestern University, 2005.
- [12] Alfred Inselberg and Bernard Dimsdale. Parallel coordinates: a tool for visualizing multi-dimensional geometry. In VIS '90: Proceedings of the 1st conference on Visualization '90, pages 361–378, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 1990. IEEE Computer Society Press.
- [13] Dean F. Jerding and John T. Stasko. The information mural: A technique for displaying and navigating large information spaces. *IEEE*

Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 4(3):257–271, 1998.

- [14] Jaeyeon Jung, Vern Paxson, Arthur W. Berger, and Hari Balakrishnan. Fast portscan detection using sequential hypothesis testing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, 2004.
- [15] C. Kruegel, T. Toth, and C. Kerer. Decentralized event correlation for intrusion detection. In *International Conference on Information Security and Cryptology (ICISC)*, 2001.
- [16] Kiran Lakkaraju, William Yurcik, and Adam J. Lee. Nvisionip: netfbw visualizations of system state for security situational awareness. In Proc. of VizSEC/DMSEC, 2004.
- [17] Stephen Lau. The spinning cube of potential doom. *Commun. ACM*, 47(6):25–26, 2004.
- [18] E. Mars and J. D. Jansky. Email defense industry statistics. http:// www.mxlogic.com/PDFs/IndustryStats.2.28.04.pdf, 2004.
- [19] Jonathan McPherson, Kwan-Liu Ma, Paul Krystosk, Tony Bartoletti, and Marvin Christensen. Portvis: a tool for port-based detection of security events. In *Proc. of VizSEC/DMSEC*, 2004.
- [20] David Moore, Geoffrey Voelker, and Stefan Savage. Inferring Internet denial of service activity. In *Proceedings of the 2001 USENIX Security Symposium*, Aug. 2001.
- [21] V. Paxson. Bro: A system for detecting network intruders in real-time. *Computer Networks*, 31(23-24):2435–2463, 1999.
- [22] Dave Plonka. Flowscan: A network traffic ow reporting and visualization tool. In Proc. of 14th Systems Administration Conference (LISA 2000), 2000.
- [23] Pin Ren and Benjamin Watson. Histographs: Interactive visualization of complex data with graphs. Technical Report NWU-CS-05-12, Department of Computer Sciences, Northwestern University, 2005. http://www.cs.northwestern.edu/publications/techreports/.
- [24] Marty Roesch. Snort: The lightweight network intrusion detection system, 2001. http://www.snort.org/.
- [25] Ben Shneiderman. The eyes have it: A task by data type taxonomy for information visualizations. In VL '96: Proceedings of the 1996 IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages, page 336, Washington, DC, USA, 1996. IEEE Computer Society.
- [26] S. Staniford, J. A. Hoagland, and J. M. McAlerney. Practical automated detection of stealthy portscans. *Journal of Computer Security*, 10(1-2), 2002.
- [27] Soon Tee Teoh, Kwan Liu Ma, S. Felix Wu, and Xiaoliang Zhao. Case study: interactive visualization for internet security. In *Proc. of the IEEE Visualization*, 2002.
- [28] H. Wang, D. Zhang, and K. G. Shin. Detecting SYN fboding attacks. In Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM, 2002.
- [29] H.N. Wang, D.L. Zhang, and K.G. Shin. Change-point monitoring for detection of dos attacks. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, 1(4), 2004.
- [30] Vinod Yegneswaran, Paul Barford, and Johannes Ullrich. Internet intrusions: Global characteristics and prevalence. In *Proceedings of* ACM SIGMETRICS, 2003.
- [31] X. Yin, W. Yurcik, M. Treaster, Y. Li, and K. Lakkaraju. Visfbwconnect: netfbw visualizations of link relationships for security situational awareness. In *Proc. of VizSEC/DMSEC*, 2004.