

SIGGRAPH2008

Computational Photography: Advanced Topics

Paul Debevec Ramesh Raskar Jack Tumblin

Class: Computational Photography, Advanced Topics

Debevec, Raskar and Tumblin

Module 1: 105 minutes

1:45: A.1 Introduction and Overview 2:00: A.2 Concepts in Computational Photography 2:15: A.3 Optics: Computable Extensions 2:45: A.4 Sensor Innovations 3:15: Q & A

3:30: Break: 15 minutes

Module 2: 105 minutes

- 3:45: B.1 Illumination As Computing
- 4:10: B.2 Scene and Performance Capture
- 4:30: B.3 Image Aggregation & Sensible Extensions
- 4:50: B.4 Community and Social Impact
- 5:10: B.4 Panel discussion

(Raskar, 15 minutes) (Tumblin, 15 minutes) (Raskar, 30 minutes) (Tumblin, 30 minutes) (15 minutes)

(Debevec, 25 minutes) (Debevec, 20 minutes) (Tumblin, 20 minutes) (Raskar, 20 minutes) (All, 20 minutes) Class Page : http://ComputationalPhotography.org

Computational Photography: Advanced Topics

A2: Core Concepts (15 minutes)

Jack Tumblin Northwestern University

Focus, Click, Print: 'Film-Like Photography'



Perfect Copy : Perfect Photograph?



'Film-Like' Photography

Ideals, Design Goals:

- 'Instantaneous' light measurement...
- Of focal plane image behind a lens.
- Reproduce those amounts of light.

Implied:

"What we see is ≅ focal-plane intensities." well, no...we see *much* more! (seeing is *deeply* cognitive)



Our Definitions

• 'Film-like' Photography:

Displayed image \cong sensor image

Computational' Photography:
 Displayed image ≠ sensor image
 ≃ visually meaningful scene contents

A more expressive & controllable displayed result, transformed, merged, decoded data from compute-assisted sensors, lights, optics, displays

What is Photography?

Safe answer:

A wholly new, expressive medium (ca. 1830s)



Manipulated display of what we think, feel, want, ...

- Capture a memory, a visual experience in tangible form
- 'painting with light'; express the subject's visual essence
- "Exactitude is not the truth." -Henri Matisse

What <u>is</u> Photography?

- A 'bucket' word: a neat container for messy notions (e.g. aviation, music, comprehension)
- A record of what we see, or would like to see, in tangible form.
- Does 'film' photography always capture it? Um, no...

• What do we see?



What <u>is</u> Photography?



Ultimate Photographic Goals



Photographic Signal: Pixels Rays

- Core ideas are ancient, simple, seem obvious:
 - Lighting: ray sources
 - Optics: ray bending/folding devices
 - Sensor: measure light
 - Processing: assess it
 - Display: reproduce it
- Ancient Greeks:
 'eye rays' wipe the world to feel its contents...

http://www.mlahanas.de/Greeks/Optics.htm



The Photographic Signal Path

Claim: Computing can improve every step



Review: How many Rays in a 3-D Scene?

A 4-D set of infinitesimal members.

Imagine:

(Levoy et al. SIGG'96)

(Gortler et al. '96)

- Convex Enclosure of a 3D scene
- Inward-facing ray camera at every surface point
- Pick the rays you need for ANY camera outside.
 - 2D surface of cameras,
 - 2D ray set for each camera
 - \rightarrow 4D set of rays.

4-D Light Field / Lumigraph

Measure all the outgoing light rays.



4-D Illumination Field

Same Idea: Measure all the incoming light rays

4D x 4D = 8-D Reflectance Field



Because Ray Changes Convey Appearance

• These rays + all these rays give me...





• MANY more useful details I can examine...



Missing: Expressive Time Manipulations

What other ways better <u>reveal</u> <u>appearance</u> to human viewers? (Without direct shape measurement?)

Can you understand this shape better?

Time for space wiggle. Gasparini, 1998.

Missing: Viewpoint Freedom

"Multiple-Center-of-Projection Images" Rademacher, P, Bishop, G., SIGGRAPH '98



Missing: Interaction...

Adjust everything: lighting, pose, viewpoint, focus, FOV,...



Winnemoller EG 2005: after Malzbender, SIGG2001







Mild Viewing & Lighting Changes; (is true 3D shape necessary?)

Convicing visual appearance: Is Accurate Depth really necessary?

a few good 2-D images may be enough...









"Image jets, Level Sets, and Silhouettes" Lance Williams, talk at Stanford, 1998.



'The Ideal Photographic Signal'

I CLAIM IT IS: All Rays? Some Rays? Changes in Some Rays

Photographic ray space is vast and redundant >8 dimensions: 4D view, 4D light, time, λ,

? Gather only 'visually significant' ray changes ?

? What rays should we measure ?

- ? How should we combine them ?
- ? How should we display them ?

Beyond 'Film-Like' Photography

Call it 'Computational Photography': To make 'meaningful ray changes' tangible,

- Optics can do more...
- Sensors can do more...
- Light Sources can do more...
- Processing can do more...

by applying low-cost storage, computation, and control.



SIGGRAPH2008