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Memory alias analysis: the problem

• Does $j$ depend on $i$?

\[
\begin{align*}
i: \ (*p) &= varA + 1 \\
j: \ varB &= (\*q) * 2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
i: \ obj1.f &= varA + 1 \\
j: \ varB &= obj2.f * 2
\end{align*}
\]

• Do $p$ and $q$ point to the same memory location?
  • Does $q$ alias $p$?
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Exploiting alias analysis in CATs

- Easiest: extending the transformation

- Midway: extending the analysis

- Hardest: writing a CAT-specific alias analysis

This is what homework H5 is about!

This is what homework H6 is about!
Let’s start looking at the interaction between memory alias analysis and a code transformation you are familiar with: constant propagation

... but first, let’s recall a term
Escape variables

```c
int x, y;
int *p;
p = &x;
myF(p);
... 

void myF (int *q){
    ...
}
```
Constant propagation revisited

```c
int x, y;
int *p;

...

x = 5;
y = x + 1;
```

Is x constant here?

- Yes, only one value of x reaches this last statement
Constant propagation revisited

int x, y;
int *p;

... 
x = 5;
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

Is x constant here?

• Yes, because x doesn’t “escape” and therefore only one value of x reaches this last statement
Constant propagation revisited

```c
int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
...
x = 5;
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;
```

Is x constant here?
- If p does not point to x, then x = 5
- If p definitely points to x, then x = 42
- If p might point to x, then we have two reaching definitions that reach this last statement, so x is not constant

Goal of memory alias analysis: understanding

We need to know which variables escape

(your H4)
To exploit **memory alias analysis** in a code transformation typically you extend the related code analyses to use the information about pointer aliases.
Let’s exploit alias analysis for making liveness analysis more powerful

• A variable v is live at a given point of a program p if
  • Exist a directed path from p to an use of v and
  • that path does not contain any definition of v

• What is the most conservative output of the analysis?
  (the bottom of the lattice)

\[ \text{GEN}[i] = ? \quad \text{KILL}[i] = ? \]
\[ \text{IN}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{OUT}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \]
\[ \text{OUT}[i] = \bigcup_{s \text{ a successor of } i} \text{IN}[s] \]
Liveness analysis revisited

int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

How can we modify liveness analysis?

Is x alive here?
- Yes, does not point to x, therefore will not be freed later
- Yes, the value 5 stored in x there will be used later
- Yes, the value of x stored there will be used later
- Yes, because x doesn't "escape" and therefore the value of x stored there will be used later

What is the most conservative output of the analysis? (the bottom of the lattice)
Liveness analysis revisited

mayPointTo : variable -> set<variable>
mustPointTo: variable -> set<variable>

How can we modify conventional liveness analysis?

\[ \text{GEN}[i] = \{ v \mid \text{variable } v \text{ is used by } i \} \]
\[ \text{KILL}[i] = \{ v' \mid \text{variable } v' \text{ is defined by } i \} \]

\[ \text{IN}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{OUT}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \]
\[ \text{OUT}[i] = \bigcup_{s \text{ a successor of } i} \text{IN}[s] \]
Liveness analysis revisited

mayPointTo : variable -> set<variable>
mustPointTo: variable -> set<variable>

\[
\text{GEN}[i] = \{\text{mayPointTo}(v) \cup \text{mustPointTo}(v) \mid \text{what is referenced by variable } v \text{ is read by } i\}
\]

\[
\text{KILL}[i] = \{\text{mustPointTo}(v) \mid \text{what is referenced by variable } v \text{ is defined by } i\}
\]

\[
\text{IN}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{OUT}[i] \setminus \text{KILL}[i])
\]

\[
\text{OUT}[i] = \bigcup_{s \text{ a successor of } i} \text{IN}[s]
\]
Trivial analysis: no code analysis

int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

Trivial memory alias analysis

Nothing must alias
Anything may alias everything else

GEN[i] = {mayPointTo(v) U mustPointTo(v) | v is “used” by i}
KILL[i] = {mustPointTo(v) | v is “defined” by i}
IN[i] = GEN[i] U (OUT[i] – KILL[i])
OUT[i] = \bigcup_{s \text{ a successor of } i} \text{IN}[s]
Great alias analysis impact

int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

Some compilers expose only data dependences. How can we compute aliases for them?

\[ \text{GEN}[i] = \{ \text{mayPointTo}(v) \cup \text{mustPointTo}(v) \mid v \text{ is “used” by } i \} \]
\[ \text{KILL}[i] = \{ \text{mustPointTo}(v) \mid v \text{ is “defined” by } i \} \]
\[ \text{IN}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{OUT}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \]
\[ \text{OUT}[i] = \bigcup_{s \text{ a successor of } i} \text{IN}[s] \]
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Memory alias analysis

• **Assumption:**
  no dynamic memory, pointers can point only to variables

• **Goal:**
  at each program point, compute set of (p->x) pairs
  if p points to variable x

• **Approach:**
  • Based on data-flow analysis
  • May information

```c
1: p = &x ;
2: q = &y;
3: if (...){
  4:   z = &v;
  }
5: x++; 
6: p = q;
7: print *p
```
May points-to analysis

- Data flow values:
  \[ \{(v, x) \mid v \text{ is a pointer variable and } x \text{ is a variable}\} \]
- Direction: forward

1. \( i: p = &x \)
   - \( \text{GEN}[i] = \{(p, x)\} \)
   - \( \text{KILL}[i] = \{(p, v) \mid v \text{ escapes}\} \)
   - \( \text{OUT}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \)

2. \( i: p = q \)
   - \( \text{GEN}[i] = \{\} \)
   - \( \text{KILL}[i] = \{(p, x) \mid x \text{ escapes}\} \)
   - \( \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, z) \mid (q, z) \in \text{IN}[i]\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \)

\[ \text{print} \ *p \]

Which variable does \( p \) point to?
Code example

1: p = &x ;
2: q = &y;
3: if (...){
4:   z = &v;
    }
5: x++;
6: p = q;

GEN[1] = {(p, x)}
GEN[2] = {(q, y)}
GEN[3] = { }
GEN[4] = {(z, v)}
GEN[5] = { }
GEN[6] = { }

KILL[1] = {(p, x), (p, y), (p, v)}
KILL[2] = {(q, x), (q, y), (q, v)}
KILL[3] = { }
KILL[4] = {(z, x), (z, y), (z, v)}
KILL[5] = { }
KILL[6] = {(p, x), (p, y), (p, v)}

IN[1] = { }
IN[2] = {(p, x)}
IN[3] = {(q, y), (p, x)}
IN[4] = {(q, y), (p, x)}
IN[5] = {(z, v), (q, y), (p, x)}
IN[6] = {(z, v), (q, y), (p, x)}

OUT[1] = {(p, x)}
OUT[2] = {(q, y), (p, x)}
OUT[3] = {(q, y), (p, x)}
OUT[4] = {(z, v), (q, y), (p, x)}
OUT[5] = {(z, v), (q, y), (p, x)}
OUT[6] = {(z, v), (q, y), (p, y)}
May points-to analysis

• \( \text{IN}[i] = U_p \text{ is a predecessor of } i \) \( \text{OUT}[p] \)

• \( i: p = \& x \)
  • \( \text{GEN}[i] = \{(p,x)\} \)
  • \( \text{KILL}[i] = \{(p,v) \mid v \text{ “escapes”}\} \)
  • \( \text{OUT}[i] = \text{GEN}[i] \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \)

• \( i: p = q \)
  • \( \text{GEN}[i] = \{} \)
  • \( \text{KILL}[i] = \{(p,x) \mid x \text{ escapes}\} \)
  • \( \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p,z) \mid (q,z) \in \text{IN}[i]\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \)

• \( i: p = * q \)
  • \( \text{GEN}[i] = \{} \)
  • \( \text{KILL}[i] = \{(p,x) \mid x \text{ escapes}\} \)
  • \( \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p,t) \mid (q,r) \in \text{IN}[i] \& (r,t) \in \text{IN}[i]\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \text{KILL}[i]) \)

• \( i: * q = p \)  ?? (1 point)
• This was a reasonable alias analysis for understanding pointers that could point only to variables

• How about pointers that could point to memory locations? (stack and heap)
  • Challenge: memory locations don’t have pre-defined symbols like variables
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

• Each invocation of a memory allocator creates a new piece of memory
  
  \[ p = \text{new T();} \quad p = \text{malloc(10);} \]

• Simple solution: generate a new “variable” for every DFA iteration to stand for new memory
  
  \[
  \text{for (i=0; i < 10; i++)}
  \text{
  v[i] = new malloc(100);
  }
  \]
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

• Each invocation of a memory allocator creates a new piece of memory
  \[ p = \text{new } T(); \quad p = \text{malloc}(10); \]

• Simple solution: generate a new “variable” for every DFA iteration to stand for new memory

• Extending our data-flow analysis
  \[
  \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{newVar})\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \{(p, x) \text{ for all } x\})
  \]

\[
\text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{newVar}_0_i)\} \quad \text{IN}[j] = \{(p, \text{newVar}_0_i)\}
\]

\[
i: p = \text{malloc}(\ldots) \quad \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{newVar}_0_i)\}
\]

\[
j: \ldots = *p \quad \text{IN}[j] = \{(p, \text{newVar}_0_i)\}
\]
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

• Each invocation of a memory allocator creates a new piece of memory

\[ p = \text{new } T(); \quad p = \text{malloc}(10); \]

• Simple solution: generate a new “variable” for every DFA iteration to stand for new memory

• Extending our data-flow analysis

\[
\text{OUT[i]} = \{(p, \text{newVar})\} \cup \text{IN[i]} - \{(p,x) \text{ for all } x\}
\]

\[
\text{IN[j]} = \{(p, \text{newVar0}_i), \quad (q, \text{newVar0}_k), \quad (w, \text{newVar0}_i), \quad (w, \text{newVar0}_k)\}
\]

\[
\text{IN[z]} = \{(p, \text{newVar0}_i), \quad (q, \text{newVar0}_k)\}
\]
Memory alias analysis:
dealing with dynamically allocated memory

• Each invocation of a memory allocator creates a new piece of memory
  
  ```
  p = new T();     p = malloc(10);
  ```

• Simple solution: generate a new “variable” for every DFA iteration to stand for new memory

• Extending our data-flow analysis

  \[
  \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{newVar})\} \cup \left(\text{IN}[i] - \{(p,x) \text{ for all } x\}\right)
  \]

  \[
  \text{IN}[j]=\{(p, \text{newVar0}_i), (p, \text{newVar1}_i), (p, \text{newVar2}_i), ...
  \]

  ![Diagram](image_url)
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

• Each invocation of a memory allocator creates a new piece of memory
  \[
  p = \text{new } T(); \quad p = \text{malloc}(10);
  \]

• Simple solution: generate a new “variable” for every DFA iteration to stand for new memory

• Extending our data-flow analysis
  \[
  \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{newVar})\} \cup \text{IN}[i] - \{(p,x) \text{ for all } x\}
  \]

• Problem:
  • Domain is unbounded
  • Iterative data-flow analysis may not converge
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

**Simple solution**

- Create a summary “variable” for each allocation statement
  - Domain is now bounded
- Data-flow equation
  
  \[
  i: p = \text{new } T \\
  \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p,\text{inst}_i)\} \cup \text{IN}[i] - \{(p,x) \text{ for all } x\}
  \]

\[
i: p = \text{malloc}(\ldots)
\]

\[
j: \ldots = *p
\]

Let us look at the implication of this design choice
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

**Simple solution**

- Create a summary “variable” for each allocation statement
  - Domain is now bounded
- Data-flow equation
  
  \[
  i: p = \text{new } T \\
  \text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, inst_i)\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \{(p, x) \text{ for all } x\})
  \]

  for (i=0; i < 10; i++) v[i] = new malloc(100);

  *(v[0]) = ... *(v[1]) = ...

**Alias analysis result:**

v[i] and v[j] alias

**Dependence analysis result:**

These 2 instructions depend on each other
Memory alias analysis: dealing with dynamically allocated memory

**Simple solution**
- Create a summary “variable” for each allocation statement
  - Domain is now bounded
- Data-flow equation
  
i: p = new T
  
\[
\text{OUT}[i] = \{(p, \text{inst}_i)\} \cup (\text{IN}[i] - \{(p, x) \text{ for all } x\})
\]

**Alternatives**
- Summary variable for odd iterations, summary variable for even iterations
- Summary variable for entire heap
- Summary node for each object type

**Analysis time/precision tradeoff**
Alias analysis common tradeoffs

• Field sensitivity
  obj->field1
  obj->field2

• Flow sensitivity

• Context sensitivity
Representations of aliasing

Alias pairs
• Pairs that refer to the same memory
• High memory requirements

Equivalence sets
• All memory references in the same set are aliases

Points-to pairs
• Pairs where the first member points to the second
How hard is the memory alias analysis problem?

• Undecidable
  • Landi 1992
  • Ramalingan 1994

• All solutions are conservative approximations
  • But all correct

• Is this problem solved?
  • Numerous papers in this area
  • Haven’t we solved this problem yet? [Hind 2001]
Alias analyses challenges

• So far we saw only one challenge: dynamic memory allocations

Let’s see the other challenges
Limits of intra-procedural analysis

```c
foo() {
    int x, y, a;
    int *p;
    x = 5;
    p = foo(&x);
    ...
}
```

```c
foo(int *p){
    return p;
}
```

Does the function call modify x? where does p point to?

- With our intra-procedural analysis, we don’t know
- Make worst case assumptions
  - Assume that any reachable pointer may be changed
  - Pointers can be “reached” via globals and parameters
  - Pointers can be passed through objects in the heap
  - p may point to anything that might escape foo

The most accurate analyses are inter-procedural
Quality of memory alias analysis

• Quality decreases
  • Across functions
  • When indirect access pointers are used
  • When dynamically allocated memory is used
  • When pointer arithmetic is used
  • When pointer to/from integer casting is used

• Partial solutions to mitigate them
  • Inter-procedural analysis
  • Shape analysis
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What is available in LLVM

• LLVM includes several alias analyses

• Each one is specialized to understand a different code pattern

• Each one with its tradeoff between accuracy and analysis time
Using dependence analysis in LLVM

int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

Using dependence analysis
Nothing must alias
Anything may alias everything else

Every memory instruction depends on
every instruction
that might access memory

opt -no-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
LLVM alias analysis: basicaa

• Distinct globals, stack allocations, and heap allocations can never alias
  • p = &g1 ; q = &g2;
  • p = alloca(...); q = alloca(...);
  • p = malloc(...); q = malloc(...);
• They also never alias nullptr
• Different fields of a structure do not alias
• Baked in information about common standard C library functions
• ... a few more ...
Using basicaa

```c
int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;
```

```
opt -no-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
opt -basicaa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```
LLVM alias analysis: globals-aa

- Specialized for understanding reads/writes of globals
  - Analyze only globals that don’t have their address taken

- Context-sensitive
- Provide information for call instructions
  - e.g., does call i read/write global g1?

```c
int g1;
int g2;
void f (void *p1){
  ...
  = &g2;
  g(p1);
  ...
}
```
int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

```
opt -globals-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```
• basicaa, globals-aa have their strengths and weaknesses

• We would like to use both of them!

• LLVM can chain alias analyses 😊
  • Best of N
Using basicaa and globals-aa

int x, y;
int *p;
... = &x;
x = 5;
...(no uses/definitions of x)
*p = 42;
y = x + 1;

```
opt -basicaa -globals-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```
Other LLVM alias analyses

• tbaa
• cfl-steens-aa
• scev-aa
• cfl-anders-aa

• + others not included in the official LLVM codebase
Alias analyses used

• How can we find out what AA is used in O0/O1/O2/O3?
  • opt –O3 -disable-output -debug-pass=Arguments bitcode.bc

• -O0:
• -O1: -basicaa -globals-aa –tbaa
• -O2: -basicaa -globals-aa -tbaa
• -O3: -basicaa -globals-aa –tbaa

• You can always extend O3 adding other AA
• We have seen how to invoke alias analyses

• How can we access alias information and/or dependences in a pass?

• What does ”alias” mean in LLVM exactly? What is the memory model adopted by LLVM?
• We have seen how to invoke alias analyses

• How can we access alias information and/or dependences in a pass?

• What does ”alias” mean in LLVM exactly?
What is the memory model adopted by LLVM?
Asking LLVM to run an AA before our pass

Which AA will run?

```
void getAnalysisUsage(AnalysisUsage &AU) const override {
  AU.addRequired< AAResultsWrapperPass >();
  return;
}
```

```
opt -basicaa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```

```
opt -globals-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```

```
opt -basicaa -globals-aa -CAT bitcode.bc -o optimized_bitcode.bc
```
AliasAnalysis LLVM class

- Interface between passes that use the information about pointer aliases and passes that compute them (i.e., alias analyses)
- To access the result of alias analyses:

```cpp
bool runOnFunction (Function &F) override {
    AliasAnalysis &aliasAnalysis = getAnalysis< AAResultsWrapperPass >().getAAResults();

    // AliasAnalysis provides information about pointers used by F
    // You cannot use the AA results to check aliases of other functions
```
AliasAnalysis LLVM class: queries

You can ask to AliasAnalysis the following common queries:

- **Do these two memory pointers alias?** `alias(...)`
  
  \[
  (*p1) = ... \\
  ...
  \]
  
  \[
  = *p2
  \]

- Can this instruction read/write a given memory location? `getModRefInfo(...)`
  - Can this function call read/write a given memory location?
  - Does this function reads/modifies memory at all?
  - Does this function call read/write memory at all?
AliasAnalysis LLVM class: the memory location

• Memory location representation:
  • Starting address (Value *)
  • Static size (e.g., 10 bytes)

```
p1 = malloc(sizeof(T1));
```

• From instruction/pointer to the memory location accessed
  • MemoryLocation::get(memInst)
AliasAnalysis LLVM class: the alias method

- Query: the alias method
  aliasAnalysis.alias(...)
  Input: 2 memory locations

```
aliasAnalysis.alias(pointer, memoryLocationSize, pointer2, memoryLocationSize2);
```

- The size can be platform dependent: ...

```cpp
if (auto pointerType = dyn_cast<PointerType>(pointer->getType())){
  auto elementPointedType = pointerType->getElementType();
  if (elementPointedType->isSized()){
    size = currM->getDataLayout().getTypeStoreSize(elementPointedType);
  }
}
```
AliasAnalysis LLVM class: the alias method

• Query: the alias method
  aliasAnalysis.alias(...)  
  Input: 2 memory locations

  aliasAnalysis.alias(pointer, memoryLocationSize, pointer2, memoryLocationSize2);

• What if you don’t know the size of the memory location?

```cpp
if (auto pointerType = dyn_cast<PointerType>(pointer->getType())){
    auto elementPointedType = pointerType->getElementType();
    if (elementPointedType->isSized()){  
        size = currM->getDataLayout().getTypeStoreSize(elementPointedType);
    }
}
```
AliasAnalysis LLVM class: the alias method

• Query: the alias method
  aliasAnalysis.alias(...)  
  Input: 2 memory locations

```c
aliasAnalysis.alias(pointer, memoryLocationSize, pointer2, memoryLocationSize2);

aliasAnalysis.alias(pointer, pointer2);
```

Constraint:
Value(s) used in the APIs that are not constant must have been defined in the same function

Output: AliasResult (this is an enum)
Two pointers *may* refer to the same memory location

**MayAlias**

Two pointers *always* refer to the same memory location

**PartialAlias**

Two pointers *always* refer to the same memory location and they have the same start address

**MustAlias**

Two pointers **cannot** refer to the same memory location

**NoAlias**
Alias query example

```cpp
switch (aliasAnalysis.alias(pointer, sizePointer, pointer2, sizePointer2)){
    case AliasResult::MayAlias:
        errs() << " May alias :\n";
        break ;
    case AliasResult::PartialAlias:
        errs() << " Partial alias :\n";
        break ;
    case AliasResult::MustAlias:
        errs() << " Must alias :\n";
        break ;
    case AliasResult::NoAlias:
        errs() << " No alias :\n";
        break ;
    default: 
        abort();
}
```
Memory instructions

• What if we want to use memory instructions directly?
  • e.g., can this load access the same memory object of this store?

```c
switch (aliasAnalysis.alias(MemoryLocation::get(memInst), MemoryLocation::get(memInst2))){
    case AliasResult::MayAlias:
        errs() << " May alias :\n";
        break;
    case AliasResult::PartialAlias:
        errs() << " Partial alias :\n";
        break;
    case AliasResult::MustAlias:
        errs() << " Must alias :\n";
        break;
    case AliasResult::NoAlias:
        errs() << " No alias :\n";
        break;
    default:
        abort();
}
```
Mod/ref queries

• Information about whether the execution of an instruction can modify (mod) or read (ref) a memory location
• It is always conservative (like alias queries)
• API: getModRefInfo
• This API is often used to understand dependences between function calls or between a memory instruction and a function call
Mod/ref query example

Input:
• An instruction
• A memory location

... call inst, fence inst, ...

```
aliasAnalysis.getModRefInfo(memInst, pointer, sizePointer);
```

Output:
• Whether the memory location **may** be modified and/or **may** be read (the negation of may means cannot)
• ModRefInfo (this is an enum)
switch (aliasAnalysis.getModRefInfo(memInst, pointer, pointerSize)) {
    case ModRefInfo::ModRef:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::Mod:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::Ref:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::MustRef:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::MustMod:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::MustModRef:
        break;
    case ModRefInfo::NoModRef:
        break;
    default:
        abort();
}
ModRefInfo

(Most conservative output) ModRef

Intersection

Found no ref
Found no mod
Found must alias

Union

Mod

NoModRef

MustModRef

MustMod

MustRef

Ref
Other alias queries

The AliasAnalysis and ModRef API includes other functions

- canBasicBlockModify(const BasicBlock &BB, const MemoryLocation &Loc)
- pointsToConstantMemory(const MemoryLocation &Loc, ...)
- getModRefBehavior
- ...

64
getModRefBehavior

```java
FunctionModRefBehavior calleeModRef = aliasAnalysis.getModRefBehavior(calleeFunction);
```

- FMRB_DoesNotAccessMemory
- FMRB_OnlyReadsArgumentPointees
- FMRB_OnlyWritesArgumentPointees
- FMRB_OnlyAccessesArgumentPointees
- FMRB_OnlyReadsInaccessibleMem
- FMRB_OnlyWritesInaccessibleMem
- FMRB_OnlyAccessesInaccessibleMem
- FMRB_OnlyReadsInaccessibleOrArgMem
- FMRB_OnlyWritesInaccessibleOrArgMem
- FMRB_OnlyAccessesInaccessibleOrArgMem
- FMRB_OnlyReadsMemory
- FMRB_OnlyWritesMemory
- FMRB_UnknownModRefBehavior
• We have seen how to invoke alias analyses

• How can we access alias information and/or dependences in a pass?

• What does “alias” mean in LLVM exactly?
  What is the memory model adopted by LLVM?
The LLVM memory model

myObject0 = call malloc(4)
myObject1 = call malloc(10)
p = myObject0 + 4

Can p alias myObject1?
The LLVM memory model

myObject0 = call malloc(4)
myObject1 = call malloc(10)
p = myObject0 + 4

Can \( p \) alias \( \text{myObject1} \)?
Always have faith in your ability

Success will come your way eventually

Best of luck!