

Type Soundness

Types and evaluation

- Why is a type system useful?
 - It can rule out ill-formed programs before we run them
- What information can a type system give us?
 - The type of data the program should produce as a result

- What is the relationship between:

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

and

$$\text{interp-expr} : \mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$$

→ \mathbf{v} should be consistent with τ

- We'd like types to tell us something useful about the behavior of our program at run-time

Type Soundness

If

$\emptyset \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$ and

$(\text{interp-expr } \mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{v}$

then

if $\tau = \text{number}$ then \mathbf{v} is a number

if $\tau = (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$ then \mathbf{v} is 'procedure'

Type Soundness

- With type soundness, our types accurately predict the kind of data we'll get when we run our program
 - Guaranteed
- Without type soundness, may get bogus predictions
 - So can't rely on it
 - Invitation for bugs, security vulnerabilities, yikes
- Formal property, can be proven mathematically
 - Starting from typing rules
 - Bugs may creep in as you go from rules to code!

Type Soundness

Not all type systems used in practice are sound!

- Standard ML: proven sound
- Haskell: subsets have been proven sound
 - Whole type system proven sound at one point
 - But constantly evolves, so may be out of date
- Rust: proven sound, at least a subset (IIRC)
- Java: has soundness holes, but mostly hangs together
 - But soundness holes are enough for security holes!
- C: lol, what's soundness